

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,875	12	2/22/1999	MANPREET S. KHAIRA	2207/6843	6722
	7590	06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON &		ON	CRAIG, DWIN M		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2123	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	· []	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

84

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	8
Advisory Action	09/470,875	KHAIRA ET AL.	
, avice, y rieden	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dwin M Craig	2123	i
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this ap	plication. A proper rep which places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailinb) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date. SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF date on which the petition under 37 CFF ension and the corresponding amount of the distance of statutory period for reply originally set.	ate of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropriate f the fee. The appropriate extentions of the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or sear	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal by r	materially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number	of finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ıld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		considered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SOLE	ELY to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-55.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b)□ disapproved	by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(	s)	
10. Other:			

DMC



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments change the original structure of the claims, specifically removing the limitation, "wherein exchanged messages are gathered together into a global signal vector" in independent claim 1 and moving that limitation into a dependent claim, changes the scope of the original claim language, and would require reconsideration of the Examiner's claim rejections.

KEWN J. TESKA WESUFERITE XAMINER ONTENTE XAMINER